

*Letter to the Editor***New Evidence for the Role of Cyclic AMP in the Release of Mitochondrial Calcium**

In a letter to the editor of this journal it was stated that the reported effect of cAMP in causing release of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  from mitochondria [2] could not be repeated in several laboratories [9]. The problem was reinvestigated and Borle [3] reported that in only 6% of a large number of experiments did cAMP cause  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  release. In spite of this set-back, interest in the topic continues. A recent report on the effect of glucagon and dibutyryl cAMP on hepatocytes claimed that the observations supported the idea that cAMP causes efflux of Ca from mitochondria [6]. During hepatointoxication the rise in cAMP was postulated to modulate the rise of intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  [1].

In heart mitochondria  $\text{Na}^+$  seems to be the physiological mechanism for release [5] and in the small intestine,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -binding protein caused release of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  from mitochondria [7]. However, until recently no such mechanism was known for liver. Working with rat liver and manipulating the redox potential of the medium, it was found that oxidizing conditions caused release of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , whereas conditions favoring a high NADH/NAD ratio caused retention of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  [8]. We have been able to repeat this work using  $\beta$  hydroxybutyrate and acetoacetate as the redox couple (H.A. Juzu & E.S. Holdsworth, *manuscript submitted*). Since glucagon and dibutyryl cAMP can alter the ratio of  $\beta$  hydroxybutyrate/acetoacetate in favor of the oxidized state, this may be the cause of Ca efflux from hepatocytes treated with glucagon and dibutyryl cAMP [6]. When palmitate is the source of the ketone bodies, glucagon or dibutyryl cAMP treatment of isolated hepatocytes caused a small shift in the ratio of  $\beta$  hydroxybutyrate/acetoacetate from 0.91 to 0.58 after 120 min incubation [M.E.S. Neville & S.E. Jamieson, *unpublished*; see also 4].

The question then arises, does cAMP cause Ca release from mitochondria and by regulating Ca-sensitive enzymes alter the ratio of  $\beta$  hydroxybutyrate to acetoacetate, or does cAMP, by altering the redox

state of site 1 phosphorylation in the respiratory chain, cause Ca release [8]? We have re-examined the effect of cAMP on  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  uptake and release from rat liver mitochondria using palmitoyl CoA as substrate (H.A. Juzu & E.S. Holdsworth, *manuscript submitted*). The 5-ml medium contained 250 mM sucrose, 2.5 mM Hepes at pH 7.4, 2 mM Pi, 1 mM  $\text{MgCl}_2$ , 72 mM KCl, 2 mM D.L. carnitine HCl, 20  $\mu\text{M}$  palmitoyl CoA, 1 mM ATP and 400 nmol  $\text{CaCl}_2$ . The reaction was started by adding mitochondria, (6–12 mg mitochondrial protein). A  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -sensitive electrode was used to follow  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  movements.  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  uptake was rapid,  $\sim 1$  min, and was unaffected by the presence of 38 or 75  $\mu\text{M}$  cAMP. In the presence of cAMP at these concentrations a significant slow release of Ca greater than the control was observed at 3 min, which continued during the 20 min of the experiment. Of the total uptake of 66 nmol/mg protein, 8–10 nmol  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ /mg protein were released in 20 min. The effect of dibutyryl cAMP was similar, but no effect was observed with cyclic GMP. The effect of cAMP was not observed when succinate was the substrate either in the presence or absence of rotenone, as found in the earlier letters to this journal [3, 9]. By using a high performance amplifier with anti-log device, it has been possible to show that 75  $\mu\text{M}$  cAMP causes release of mitochondria with their natural  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  content, i.e., not preloaded with  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . During the period of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  release, the 20 natoms  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ /mg of the mitochondria fell by 1 natom  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  when incubated in the above medium (without added  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ). Although this is a sensitive technique for following  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  release, the system *understates* the release since the released  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  was chelated by ATP present in the medium and the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  electrode only responded to ionic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . The response to cAMP has been reproducible, the only occasions when no release of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  was obtained was when “aged” mitochondria, over 1 hr after isolation, were used. The question to be asked now is which

comes first, the release of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  by cAMP or a change in NADH/NAD ratios which would cause the release.

H.A. Juzu  
E.S. Holdsworth  
Department of Biochemistry,  
University of Tasmania,  
Hobart, 7001 Australia

## References

1. Anghileri, L.J., Heidbreder, M. 1977. Effects of increased intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  on cyclic nucleotide production in liver tissue. *Experientia* **34**:415
2. Borle, A.B. 1974. Cyclic AMP stimulation of calcium efflux from kidney, liver and heart mitochondria. *J. Membrane Biol.* **16**:221
3. Borle, A.B. 1976. Cyclic AMP stimulation of calcium efflux from isolated mitochondria: A negative report. *J. Membrane Biol.* **29**:209
4. Christiansen, R.Z. 1977. Regulation of palmitate metabolism by carnitine and glucagon in hepatocytes isolated from fasted and carbohydrate refeed rats. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **488**:249
5. Crompton, M., Capano, M., Carafoli, E. 1976. The sodium-induced efflux of calcium from heart mitochondria. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **69**:453
6. Foden, S., Randle, P.J. 1978. Calcium metabolism in rat hepatocytes. *Biochem. J.* **170**:615
7. Hamilton, J.W., Holdsworth, E.S. 1975. The role of calcium binding protein in the mechanism of action of cholecalciferol. *Aust. J. Exp. Biol. Med. Sci.* **53**:469
8. Lehninger, A.L., Vercesi, A., Bababunmi, E.A. 1978. The regulation of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  release from mitochondria by the oxidation-reduction state of pyridine nucleotides. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **75**:1690
9. Scarpa, A., Malmstrom, K., Chiesi, M., Carafoli, E. 1976. On the problem of the release of mitochondrial calcium by cyclic AMP. *J. Membrane Biol.* **29**:205

Received 12 September 1979